

## **ScienceDirect**



Review

# Tackling cognitive decline in late adulthood: Cognitive interventions

Claudia C. von Bastian, Eleanor R. A. Hyde and Shuangke Jiang

#### **Abstract**

Affordable and easy-to-administer interventions such as cognitive training, cognitively stimulating everyday leisure activities, and non-invasive brain stimulation techniques, are promising avenues to counteract age-related cognitive decline and support people in maintaining cognitive health into late adulthood. However, the same pattern of findings emerges across all three fields of cognitive intervention research: whereas improvements within the intervention context are large and often reliable, generalisation to other cognitive abilities and contexts are severely limited. These findings suggest that while cognitive interventions can enhance the efficiency with which people use their existing cognitive capacity, these interventions are unlikely to expand existing capacity limits. Therefore, future research investigating generalisation of enhanced efficiency constitutes a promising avenue for developing reliably effective cognitive interventions.

#### Addresses

Department of Psychology and Neuroscience Institute, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom

Corresponding author: von Bastian, Claudia C. (c.c.vonbastian@sheffield.ac.uk)

## Current Opinion in Psychology 2024, 56:101780

This review comes from a themed issue on Late Adulthood 2024

Edited by Alexandra M Freund and Jonathan Rolison

For a complete overview see the Issue and the Editorial

Available online 7 December 2023

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2023.101780

2352-250X/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

### Keywords

Cognitive aging, Cognitive training, Lifestyle engagement, Non-invasive brain stimulation.

### Introduction

With advancing age, cognitive performance typically declines, especially in fluid abilities such as attention, processing speed, and reasoning [1]. These changes observed during healthy ageing are often accompanied by

profound changes in brain architecture [2,3] and can affect everyday functioning and life satisfaction [4,5]. Therefore, intensive research efforts have been invested into developing interventions with the potential to mitigate these age-related cognitive changes to support people maintaining their cognitive health into late adulthood. The most popular and widely researched interventions include process-specific cognitive training, promoting cognitively stimulating everyday leisure activities, and administering non-invasive brain stimulation (NIBS).

Cognitive benefits of these interventions are evaluated using pre-test/post-test study designs, in which participants are randomly assigned to an experimental group receiving the intervention or to a control group. Control groups can be passive or active. Whereas participants in passive control groups go on about their life as normal inbetween assessments, active control groups complete an alternative intervention that is designed to be minimally demanding for the targeted cognitive function. Both types of control groups allow for disentangling learning effects arising from completing the same assessment multiple times from intervention-specific effects. Active control groups additionally control for non-specific intervention effects such as expectation and placebo effects [6,7]. Experimental and control groups are then compared before and after the intervention, and sometimes at a follow-up (typically between 1 month to a year).

A hallmark of successful cognitive interventions is to establish generalised cognitive benefits beyond the cognitive processes and skills targeted by the intervention. The degree of generalisation of intervention effects can range from near transfer, that is, benefits on tasks and in contexts highly similar to the intervention, to far transfer, that is, improvements in abilities and contexts different to the intervention. In this article, we review recent evidence for the effectiveness of cognitive training, cognitively stimulating leisure activities, and NIBS to yield such broad cognitive benefits.

## Cognitive training

Over the past 20 years, process-based cognitive training has been a particularly popular intervention approach. Cognitive training involves repetitively practising cognitive tasks that are assumed to measure specific cognitive processes and functions. A prime target for cognitive training is working memory, the cognitive ability that provides temporary access to representations that are needed for complex cognition in the present moment. Working memory capacity is severely limited, typically to 3 to 5 representations at a time. Individual differences in working memory capacity are highly predictive of other cognitive abilities and everyday competencies [8], giving rise to the hypothesis that expanding working memory capacity improves cognition more broadly. However, despite initially promising findings [9,10], it soon became clear that the typically observed large improvements in trained working memory tasks often show only little or inconsistent benefits on untrained, structurally different working memory tasks, and rarely generalise to other cognitive abilities [11]. Similar patterns of results have been found for cognitive training of other fluid cognitive abilities, including attention, multitasking, and episodic memory training (for a recent review, see [12]), perhaps with the exception of interventions targeting processing speed [13]. Ongoing research is investigating why processing speed training may be a relatively more successful approach in generating broad benefits including to everyday cognitive functioning [14].

A possible explanation for the presence of large training effects in the absence of transfer effects may be that individual differences in baseline cognitive ability and other characteristics such as personality, motivation, and training adherence affect the effectiveness of working memory training [15]. However, the evidence for such a substantial role of individual differences in the effectiveness of working memory training is mixed [16,17], and the adequate analysis methodologically challenging [18,19]. Another, not mutually exclusive, explanation is that training solely fosters the acquisition of paradigmspecific strategies and routines [20] and stimulispecific expertise [21], thereby enabling people to use their existing cognitive capacity more efficiently without expanding its limits [12]. Enhanced efficiency will boost performance in the trained task but may rarely generalise to other contexts for which it is unclear how or why these newly acquired strategies and expertise can be applied.

More recent approaches have, therefore, explored the potential of metacognitive training. Metacognition is the ability to monitor and understand one's own thought processes. Improved metacognition can be beneficial for cognitive performance for several reasons. For example, for people who would otherwise underestimate their performance (e.g., due to stereotypes of cognitive aging), more accurate monitoring and estimating of selfperformance may increase confidence, self-efficacy, and motivation to tackle challenging tasks, and in people who would otherwise overestimate their performance, better metacognition may enable them to exert more effort on learning. Metacognitive training can involve psychoeducation about cognitive abilities and how they are affected by ageing [22] or metacognitive instruction of how to use newly acquired strategies and skills in other contexts [23]. Other interventions explored targeting metacognitive processing directly (e.g., improving the accuracy of judgments of learning [24]) or combined these approaches with cognitive training (e.g., with working memory training [27]). First encouraging meta-analytic findings suggest these approaches may be a promising avenue [25]; however, only few studies with randomised and actively controlled study designs are yet available and, thus, a more robust body of evidence is needed.

## Cognitively stimulating leisure activities

An alternative to training specific cognitive processes through repetitive practice is to promote increased engagement in leisure activities that are thought to contribute to a healthy and cognitively stimulating lifestyle. Empirical and meta-analytical evidence suggests enrichment through physical, cognitive, and social activities can have lifelong effects on cognitive functioning [26], attenuate cognitive decline, and even delay the onset of dementia [27]. Therefore, interventions directly targeting cognitively stimulating leisure activities may yield generalised cognitive benefits.

Likely, leisure activities involving greater cognitive challenge have more potential to induce cognitive improvements. The Synapse Project tested this proposition by comparing activities with high challenge (digital photography and quilting) to activities that are relatively less challenging (socialising and completing tasks with low cognitive demands) [28]. Although the groups' cognitive performance was similar after the intervention, engagement in more cognitively demanding activities led to increased neural efficiency in brain regions associated with attentional and semantic processing. Similarly, highly complex qigong practice involving slow, coordinated physical movements, breathing techniques and meditation, has been shown to produce a range of structural and functional neural changes including in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus that are associated with better cognitive functioning [29]. These changes on the neural level suggest that these activities may facilitate positive cognitive change, but the typical interventions may not be intensive enough to translate into reliably improved cognitive performance.

Another potential target of intervention studies is playing music, a highly complex activity requiring a range of cognitive, sensory, and motor functions. Cross-

sectional studies have shown that playing a musical instrument during life relates to better performance in measures of global cognition, working memory, executive functions, language and visuospatial abilities, as well as greater grey-matter volume in somatosensory brain areas [30,31]. However, randomised-controlled intervention studies in late adulthood are scarce. One exception is a recent 12-months intervention study, in which healthy older adults were randomly allocated to either an experimental group who learned to play the piano, or an active control group receiving music culture lessons with instructions in analytical music listening and music theory [32]. Although both groups showed increased grey matter volume after the intervention, unique associations between tonal working memory improvements and cerebellar grey-matter volume changes and piano training intensity suggest possible indirect effects of musical training on cognitive and brain health.

Finally, playing board and videogames have become popular intervention targets. Cross-sectional work suggests that playing board games is related to everyday cognitive performance in healthy older adults [33], and cognitive improvements have been observed following interventions involving playing traditional boardgames, such as chess, Go, or mahjong, at least in older adults at risk or with cognitive impairment [34]. However, the small number of existing boardgame intervention studies, which also often include only small samples and suffer from high attrition rates, limits these otherwise promising effects. In addition, board games typically require playing with others and, thus, traditional boardgames may not be ideal activities for targeted interventions.

In contrast, videogames, which often involve complex, fast, and dynamic play, can be played either alone or with others over the internet. Thus, training with videogames may constitute a viable alternative to boardgame interventions. Furthermore, observational, cross-sectional research suggests playing videogames to be more strongly related to cognitive performance than playing boardgames, at least in younger adults [35]. Videogame training studies with older adults and active control groups are still scarce. Based on the limited number of studies, tentative meta-analytic evidence suggests videogame training to be similarly effective in younger and in older adults, with an overall small to moderate effect size (g = 0.25) [36]. The potential effectiveness of different types of videogames in improving cognition may, however, vary with age. For example, a recent observational, cross-sectional study reported that superior working memory capacity and distraction resistance was associated with strategy videogames in younger adults but with puzzle videogames in older adults [37]. The relationship between cognitive performance and ingame performance (e.g., aim accuracy, stealth-oriented behaviour) has also been shown to vary with age. possibly suggesting that older adults differ from younger adults in how they interact with game mechanics [38]. A better understanding of age differences in utilising game mechanics is important given that the effectiveness of videogame training seems to depend on specific game features, with, for example, larger cognitive benefits after videogame training with first-person perspective than with videogames involving a thirdperson perspective [36]. Hence, to unlock the full potential of videogames as interventions, more research is needed to identify the specific features and games associated with cognitive benefits across the lifespan.

## Non-invasive brain stimulation

Different to behavioural interventions, NIBS methods aim at enhancing cognition by inducing changes in neural plasticity and neural networks. The most common forms include transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS), transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), and transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) [39]. The simplest and most accessible technique is tDCS. It modulates the cortical excitability by changing the electric field of the targeted brain regions and networks through a weak, direct electric current (typically 1-2 mA) that is constantly induced from anodal to cathodal electrodes. Safe and effective tDCS protocols for older adults typically administer 1 to 10 sessions of 15-30 min duration each [40,41]. A recent systematic review of 44 studies reported positive, typically medium-sized effects of tDCS benefits, in particular for anodal tDCS targeting the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) benefitting working memory and episodic memory [42].

Relative to tDCS, TMS is more expensive and demands a higher level of technical skills. When performing TMS, a transient magnetic field (typically up to 2 Tesla within 1 ms) is focally applied over the target region to generate an electric current in a perpendicular direction. When applied repetitively, TMS modulates cortical excitability differently depending on its frequency: lowfrequency TMS (≤1 Hz) decreases cortical excitability, whereas high-frequency TMS (≥5 Hz) increases cortical excitability, resulting in inhibitory and excitatory effects, respectively [39]. TMS, especially repetitive TMS over the DLPFC, can yield medium cognitive benefits ( $gs \ge 0.71$ ) that were still observable 3 months later in people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer's Disease (AD) [40,43].

Finally, tACS also alters cortical oscillations depending on the frequency with which it is administered. For example, a recent study found that tACS enhanced working memory and long-term memory performance in healthy older adults by entraining low-frequency (theta) rhythms in the parietal cortex and high-frequency (gamma) rhythms in the prefrontal cortex, respectively [44]. These medium to large effects ( $ds \ge 0.75$ ) were still observable one month after the intervention. Similar promising effects were found for people with MCI and AD for tACS using a gamma frequency range [45].

To boost the intervention-induced benefits, NIBS techniques have also been coupled with other interventions, such as cognitive training. A recent metaanalysis of 18 studies with samples of both younger and older adults found that, although small (g = 0.14), synergistic effects of working memory training and tDCS were significant and still observable at follow-up testing typically 1 month later [46]. Yet, these effects are rather elusive and difficult to replicate. For example, one randomised-controlled trial with 5 sessions of working memory training combined with tDCS over the DLPFC found that stimulation augmented transfer effects to short-term memory and reasoning in older adults [47]. However, another study found that benefits of 9 sessions of working memory and decision-making training combined with tDCS over the DLPFC emerged only for one near transfer working memory task but not the trained or any other transfer tasks [48].

The cognitive benefits of NIBS techniques are also difficult to replicate when studied in isolation. For example, an analysis of 30 randomly selected, published articles reporting cognitive benefits of tDCS in healthy adults yielded no evidential value, likely due to these previous studies being substantially underpowered [49]. Consistent with these findings, empirical studies also failed to replicate tDCS-induced benefits when testing bigger sample sizes [50]. Taken together, NIBS is a promising technique for counteracting cognitive declines both in healthy aging and in MCI and AD. However, identifying consistent and reproducible NIBS-induced effects is pivotal for building a foundation prior to its wide application.

## Conclusion

Cognitive training, cognitively stimulating leisure activities, and NIBS have the potential to mitigate agerelated cognitive decline. However, across all three fields of research, despite reliable large improvements in tasks and contexts highly similar to the intervention activities, the evidence for generalised cognitive benefits is limited. Taken together, the pattern of evidence suggests that these interventions are highly unlikely to expand cognitive capacity limits. Yet, in contrast, these interventions have great potential to enhance the efficiency with which people can use their existing capacity [12]. Hence, a promising future research direction will be to develop interventions that capitalise on mechanisms of cognitive efficiency and target their generalisation. To enhance engagement with these interventions and better tailor them to individual needs,

methodologically rigorous and well-powered studies are needed to determine how intervention-specific features and people's individual differences and characteristics contribute to the effectiveness of interventions [15].

#### Credit author statement

Claudia C. von Bastian: Conceptualization, Writing — Original Draft, Writing — Review & Editing, Supervision, Funding Acquisition. Eleanor R. A. Hyde: Conceptualization, Writing — Original Draft, Writing — Review & Editing. Shuangke Jiang: Conceptualization, Writing — Original Draft, Writing — Review & Editing.

## **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

## **Acknowledgements**

We acknowledge the support from the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) to C.C.v.B. (ES/V013610/1).

#### References

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- \* of special interest
- \*\* of outstanding interest
- Craik FIM, Bialystok E: Cognition through the lifespan: mechanisms of change. Trends Cognit Sci 2006, 10:131–138, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2006.01.007.
- Spreng RN, Turner GR: The shifting architecture of cognition and brain function in older adulthood. Perspect Psychol Sci 2019, 14:523–542, https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691619827511.
- MacDonald ME, Pike GB: MRI of healthy brain aging: a review. NMR Biomed 2021, 34, e4564, https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.4564.
- Tucker-Drob EM: Neurocognitive functions and everyday functions change together in old age. Neuropsychology 2011, 25:368–377, https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022348.
- Diehl M: Everyday competence in later life: current status and future directions. Gerontol 1998, 38:422–433, https://doi.org/ 10.1093/geront/38.4.422.
- Boot WR, et al.: The pervasive problem with placebos in psychology: why active control groups are not sufficient to rule out placebo effects. Perspect Psychol Sci 2013, 8: 445–454, https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691613491271.
- Foroughi CK, et al.: Placebo effects in cognitive training. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2016, 113:7470–7474, https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1601243113.
- Barrett LF, Tugade MM, Engle RW: Individual difference in working memory capacity and dual-process theories of the mind. Psychol Bull 2004, 130:553–573, https://doi.org/10.1037/ 0033-2909.130.4.553.
- Jaeggi SM, et al.: Improving fluid intelligence with training on working memory. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008, 105: 6829–6833, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0801268105.

- Klingberg T, et al.: Computerized training of working memory in children with ADHD a randomized, controlled trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatr 2005, 44:177–186, https://doi.org/ 10.1097/00004583-200502000-00010
- 11. Guye S, et al.: Cognitive training across the adult lifespan. In Cognitive training; 2021:141-152, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-
- von Bastian CC, et al.: Mechanisms underlying training-induced cognitive change. Nature Reviews Psychology 2022, 1: 30-41, https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-021-00001-3

This review provides an overview of the effectiveness of training perception and attenion, working memory, episodic memory, and multitasking, with a particular focus on the theoretical mechanisms underpinning training and transfer effects.

- Edwards JD, et al.: Systematic review and meta-analyses of useful field of view cognitive training. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2018, 84:72-91, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.11.004.
- 14. von Bastian CC, et al.: Mechanisms of processing speed training and transfer effects across the adult lifespan: protocol of a multi-site cognitive training study. BMC Psychology 2022, 10, 168, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-022-00877-7.
- 15. von Bastian CC, Oberauer K: Effects and mechanisms of working memory training: a review. *Psychol Res* 2014, **78**: 803–820, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-013-0524-6.
- Guye S, De Simoni C, von Bastian CC: Do individual differences predict change in cognitive training performance? A latent growth curve modeling approach. J Cognit Enhanc 2017, 1:374-393, https://doi.org/10.1007/s41465-017-0049-9.
- 17. Traut HJ, Guild RM, Munakata Y: Why does cognitive training yield inconsistent benefits? A meta-analysis of individual differences in baseline cognitive abilities and training outcomes. Front Psychol 2021, 12, 662139, https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpsyg.2021.662139.
- 18. Smolen T, et al.: Most evidence for the compensation account of cognitive training is unreliable. Mem Cognit 2018, 46: 1315-1330. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13421-018-0839-z
- Könen T, Karbach J: **Analyzing individual differences in intervention-related changes**. *Adv Methods Pract Psychol Sci* 2021, **4**, https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245920979172.

Overview of the benefits and limitations of statistically analysing individual differences in intervention-induced effects, covering practical issues and challenges of latent-change modelling and measurement invariance and predicting correlated changes.

- Gathercole SE, et al.: Working memory training involves learning new skills. *J Mem Lang* 2019, 105:19–42, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2018.10.003.
- Jiang S, Jones M, von Bastian CC: Mechanisms of cognitive change: training improves the quality but not the quantity of visual working memory representations. J Cognit 2023, 6, 42, https://doi.org/10.5334/joc.306.
- 22. Belleville S, et al.: MEMO+: efficacy, durability and effect of cognitive training and psychosocial intervention in individuals with mild cognitive impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc 2018. 66:655-663. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15192
- Bottiroli S, et al.: The importance of training strategy adaptation: a learner-oriented approach for improving older adults' memory and transfer. J Exp Psychol Appl 2013, 19:205-218, https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034078
- 24. Carpenter J, et al.: Domain-general enhancements of metacognitive ability through adaptive training. J Exp Psychol Gen 2019, 148:51-64, https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000505
- Sella E, et al.: Efficacy of memory training interventions targeting metacognition for older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Aging Ment Health 2023, 27:674–694, https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2022.2122931.
- Stine-Morrow EAL, Manavbasi IE: Beyond "use it or lose it": The impact of engagement on cognitive aging. Ann Rev

Develop Psychol 2022, 4:319-352, https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-devpsych-121020-030017

Comprehensive review of the how lifestyle engagement through activities, social networks, and experiences affects cognitive ageing.

- Song S, Stern Y, Gu Y: Modifiable lifestyle factors and cognitive reserve: a systematic review of current evidence. Ageing Res Rev 2022, 74, 101551, https://doi.org/10.1016/
- McDonough IM, et al.: The Synapse Project: engagement in mentally challenging activities enhances neural efficiency. Restor Neurol Neurosci 2015, 33:865–882, https://doi.org/ 10.3233/RNN-150533.
- 29. Liu J, Shi H, Lee TMC: Qigong exercise and cognitive function in brain imaging studies: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials in healthy and cognitively impaired populations. Brain Behavior and Immunity Integrative 2023, 3, 100016, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbii.2023.100016
- 30. Böttcher A, et al.: Musical activity during life is associated with multi-domain cognitive and brain benefits in older adults. Front Psychol 2022, 13, 945709, https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpsyg.2022.945709.
- 31. Aryanto CB, et al.: How are active music-making and executive functions related? A systematic review and meta-analysis. 2023. Manuscript in preparation.
- 32. Marie D, et al.: Music interventions in 132 healthy older adults enhance cerebellar grey matter and auditory working memory, despite general brain atrophy. Neuroimage: Rep 2023, 3, 100166, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ynirp.2023.100166.
- 33. Guye S, et al.: Functional ability in everyday life: are associations with an engaged lifestyle mediated by working memory? *J Gerontol: Series B* 2020, **75**:1873–1883, https:// doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbz056.
- 34. Pozzi FE, et al.: Can traditional board games prevent or slow down cognitive impairment? A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Alzheim Dis 2023, https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-230473. in press.
- Martinez L, Gimenes M, Lambert E: Video games and board games: effects of playing practice on cognition. PLoS One 2023, 18, e0283654, https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0283654.
- Smith ET, Basak C: A game-factors approach to cognitive benefits from video-game training: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2023, 18, e0285925, https://doi.org/10.1371 journal.pone.0285925.
- 37. Cutting J, Copeland B, McNab F: Higher working memory capacity and distraction-resistance associated with strategy (not action) game playing in younger adults, but puzzle game playing in older adults. Heliyon 2023, 9, e19098, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e19098.

This large-scale cross-sectional study shows how different videogame genres – and, thus, demands of the leisure activity – can have different effects in different age groups. These findings demonstrate the importance of considering videogame demands when designing ageappropriate videogame interventions.

Ono T, et al.: Novel 3-D action video game mechanics reveal differentiable cognitive constructs in young players, but not in old. Sci Rep 2022, 12, 11751, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-

Using a videogame specifically designed for research, this study shows that associations between game mechanics and cognitive performance differ between younger and older adults, suggesting that cognitive benefits of the same videogame can vary with age.

Martins ARS, et al.: Neuromodulation as a cognitive enhancement strategy in healthy older adults: promises and pitfalls. Aging Neuropsychol Cognit 2017, 24:158–185, https://doi.org/10.1080/13825585.2016.1176986.

This review provides an overview of the effectiveness of TMS and tDCS that counteracting cogntive decline in healthy older adults, including impacts on attention, memory, language, inhibitory control and error awareness, with a focus on assessing methodological quality of the reviewed studies.

- Šimko P, Kent JA, Rektorova I: Is non-invasive brain stimulation effective for cognitive enhancement in Alzheimer's disease? An updated meta-analysis. Clin Neurophysiol 2022, 144: 23–40, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CLINPH.2022.09.010.
- Siegert A, Diedrich L, Antal A: New methods, old Brains—a systematic review on the effects of tDCS on the cognition of elderly people. Front Hum Neurosci 2021, 15, 730134, https:// doi.org/10.3389/FNHUM.2021.730134.
- Goldthorpe RA, Rapley JM, Violante IR: A systematic review of non-invasive brain stimulation applications to memory in healthy aging. Front Neurol 2020, 11, 575075, https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fneur.2020.575075.
- Chou Y-H, That VT, Sundman M: A systematic review and meta-analysis of rTMS effects on cognitive enhancement in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 2020, 86:1–10, https://doi.org/10.1016/ J.NEUROBIOLAGING.2019.08.020.
- 44. Grover S, et al.: Long-lasting, dissociable improvements in \* working memory and long-term memory in older adults with repetitive neuromodulation. Nat Neurosci 2022, 25:1237–1246, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-022-01132-3.

A carefully designed study with a large sample showing early-stage evidence of potential long-lasting interventional effects of multi-session tACS, to improve memory in healthy older adults. These exciting effects were successfully replicated in a different sample of participants.

45. Nissim NR, et al.: The impact of gamma transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) on cognitive and memory

- processes in patients with mild cognitive impairment or Alzheimer's disease: a literature review. *Brain Stimul* 2023, **16**: 748–755, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BRS.2023.04.001.
- Pergher V, Au Ja: The benefits of simultaneous tDCS and working memory training on transfer outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brain Stimul* 2022, 15:1541–1551, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BRS.2022.11.008.
- Teixeira-Santos AC, et al.: Working memory training coupled with transcranial direct current stimulation in older adults: a Randomized controlled experiment. Front Aging Neurosci 2022, 14, 827188, https://doi.org/10.3389/FNAGI.2022.827188/ BIBTEX.
- Antonenko D, et al.: Randomized trial of cognitive training and brain stimulation in non-demented older adults. Alzheimer's Dementia: Translational Research & Clinical Interventions 2022, 8, e12262, https://doi.org/10.1002/trc2.12262.

With a rigorous design (large sample size, randomized control with sham condition, single-blind experiment and blinded analysis), this study challenges previous positive effects of combining cognitive training and tDCS in older adults.

- Medina J, Cason S: No evidential value in samples of transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) studies of cognition and working memory in healthy populations. *Cortex* 2017, 94: 131–141, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CORTEX.2017.06.021.
- Jiang S, Jones M, von Bastian CC: Does transcranial direct current stimulation enhance visual working memory? A replication study. Research Square; 2023, https://doi.org/10.21203/RS.3.RS-3192523/V1.